

SPECIAL TRIBUNAL FOR LEBANON

المحكمة الخاصة بلبنان

TRIBUNAL SPÉCIAL POUR LE LIBAN

THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Case No: STL-11-01/T/TC

Before: Judge David Re, Presiding

Judge Janet Nosworthy Judge Micheline Braidy

Judge Walid Akoum, Alternate Judge Judge Nicola Lettieri, Alternate Judge

Registrar: Mr Daryl Mundis

Date: 1 December 2016

Original language: English

Classification: Public

DECISION GRANTING PROSECUTION MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF WITNESS STATEMENTS OF ERICH KARNBERGER (PRH312) AND JO VAN LIERDE (PRH714), DATED 24 NOVEMBER 2016

(Extract from Official Public Transcript of Hearing on 1 December 2016, page 30, line 4 to page 31, line 1)

The Trial Chamber makes the following decision in relation to filing F2852, "Prosecution Rule 155 Motion for the Admission of Two Witness Statements in Compliance with Trial Chamber Decisions F2829 and F2831."

As a result of the Trial Chamber's decision on the 11th of November, 2016, in F2829, "Decision on Rule 155 Statements of Witnesses PRH264, PRH306, and PRH416 Identifying Sami Issa as Mustafa Amine Badreddine," and filing F2831 of the same date, "Decision under Rule 155 Admitting Statements of Witnesses PRH338, PRH369, PRH423, PRH470, and PRH605 Identifying Sami Issa," the Trial Chamber ordered the Prosecution to tender into evidence the statements from investigators who participated in the interview of witnesses who identified Sami Issa in photographs and a video after the death of Mr. Mustafa Amine Badreddine in May 2016.

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication. Only the original speech is authentic.

In the Prosecution motion, statements of investigators PRH714 and PRH312, that's respectively Mr. Jo van Lierde and Mr. Erich Karnberger, were submitted under Rule 155 for admission into evidence without cross-examination.

No Defence counsel have objected to the Chamber receiving those statements into evidence under Rule 155 without cross-examination, and the Chamber will accordingly receive the evidence under Rule 155 and will allocate exhibit numbers to them when the Prosecution formally presents the statements for tender.

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.
Only the original speech is authentic.